

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/567,538	11/14/2006	Eui Rim Jeong	05-479-C	9313	
20306 MCDONNELI	7590 10/14/200 L BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACK		NEFF, MICHAEL R			
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2611			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,538	JEONG, EUI RIM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL R. NEFF	2611	

,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL R. NEFF	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  det 53X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - A state of the communication of the co	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2006 is/are		d to by the Evami	nor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	·- · ·- ·	•	ilei.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			ED 1 121/d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Tribe oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	animer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IONIT F	10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)	5). Notice of Informal F	atert.Application				

U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (	(Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/567,538 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Re claim 2, the term 'N' is undefined within the claim language, rendering the claim indefinite, along with all claims dependent to claim 2.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turcotte et al. (herein after Turcotte) (US Publication 2002/0075092 A1) in view of Lindholm (US Patent 6,477,207 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/567,538

Art Unit: 2611

Re claim 1, Turcotte discloses an apparatus for implementing a wideband multicarrier, the apparatus comprising: a digital channelizer (74; Paragraph 0024) for pulse-shaping complex digital modulation signals (76 and 78), digitally mixing the signals (80; Paragraphs 0026-0028) and a digital intermediate frequency (IF) modulation portion for modulating the divided signals into individual IF signals to generate a wideband multicarrier IF signal (84, 86, 88; Paragraphs 0029-0034); however, Turcotte fails to explicitly disclose wherein after digitally mixing the signals the system further comprises dividing the signals into individual signals having different center frequencies.

This design is however disclosed Lindholm. Lindholm discloses wherein after pulse shaping, a signal is digitally mixed (62a, b etc 63) and further dividing the signals into individual signals having different center frequencies (C elements in Figure 6; Column 4 sub band charts; Column 6 line 56-Column 7 line 15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the sub channel processing disclosed within Lindholm to modify the disclosure of Turcotte for the added benefit of allowing for the individual carrier frequency calculations for a more efficient channel processing.

Re claim 9, Turcotte discloses a method for implementing a wideband multicarrier, the method comprising the steps of: pulse-shaping complex digital modulation signals (Paragraph 0024, elements 76 and 78); digitally mixing the signals (80, Paragraphs 0026-0028); interpolating the divided signals (82); quadrature-mixing the signals (84, 86, 88; Paragraphs 0029-0034); and modulating the signals into digital IF signals (84,

Application/Control Number: 10/567,538

Art Unit: 2611

86, 88; Paragraphs 0029-0034); however, Turcotte fails to explicitly disclose wherein after digitally mixing the signals the system further dividing the signals into individual signals having different center frequencies;.

This design is however disclosed Lindholm. Lindholm discloses wherein after pulse shaping, a signal is digitally mixed (62a, b etc 63) and further dividing the signals into individual signals having different center frequencies (C elements in Figure 6; Column 4 sub band charts; Column 6 line 56-Column 7 line 15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the sub channel processing disclosed within Lindholm to modify the disclosure of Turcotte for the added benefit of allowing for the individual carrier frequency calculations for a more efficient channel processing.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 2-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
   U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to anticipate of render obvious the disclosure of the specific design structure of the digital channelizer and IF modulation portion of the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL R. NEFF whose telephone number is (571)270-1848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00am - 4:30pm EST ALT Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571)272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MICHAEL R. NEFF/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611 /Shuwang Liu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611